



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,276	04/22/2004	Tomoki Ohkawa	Q81191	6463
65565	7590	01/28/2009	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE-265550			CASCHERA, ANTONIO A	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			ART UNIT	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			PAPER NUMBER	
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2009	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/829,276

Applicant(s)

OHKAWA, TOMOKI

Examiner

Antonio A. Caschera

Art Unit

2628

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(3) _____.

(2) Diallo T. Crenshaw.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tam.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative explained the invention and how it differs from the cited prior art of Tam. In particular, the limitation of "an image control section...periodically extracts...at intervals of the predetermined time" (found in at least claim 1) was discussed and explained versus the teachings of Tam. Examiner agreed that such a limitation was not explicitly recited by Tam and that a further prior art search would be conducted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(Antonio A. Caschera/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628